



● CONFIDENTIAL — AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

IME AND MEDICAL EXAMINATION COORDINATION

Professional Jurisdiction Briefing

Subject: Kadena Facility Services KK · Jurisdiction: Okinawa - Tokyo, Japan

TARGET LOCATION

Okinawa - Tokyo, Japan

CLIENT TYPE

insurance company

URGENCY

routine, days to weeks

BUDGET

EUR5,000-EUR25,000

REFERENCE

OPS-UFAFWB

ENRICHMENT

0 of 14 sources returned data

JURISDICTION ASSESSMENT

COMPLEXITY

MEDIUM

SERVICES

EXCELLENT

THREAT LEVEL

LOW

SOURCES QUERIED

Interpol Red Notices	ICIJ Offshore Leaks	GLEIF (Legal Entity Identifier)	Google News RSS	Wikidata (Structured Entity Data)
Wikipedia (Identity Resolution)	Companies House (UK Corporate Registry)	UK Insolvency & Disqualification	CourtListener (US Federal Courts)	
SEC EDGAR (Securities Filings)	SAM.gov (Federal Debarments)	HaveIBeenPwned (Email Breaches)	IP Geolocation (Domain Server)	
EU VIES VAT Registry				



CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

Professional Jurisdiction Briefing

COMPLEXITY RATING MEDIUM	SERVICES AVAILABILITY EXCELLENT	THREAT LEVEL LOW
------------------------------------	---	----------------------------

JURISDICTION BRIEFING - IME AND DEPOSITION COORDINATION

Subject Entity: Kadena Facility Services KK | Location: Okinawa / Tokyo, Japan | Client: AIG (via contracted advisory firm) | Matter Type: Defense Base Act - Independent Medical Examination & Claimant Deposition Logistics

JURISDICTION PROFILE

Country: Japan

City: Tokyo (primary operational base for this engagement)

Complexity Rating: MEDIUM

Professional Services Availability: EXCELLENT

Threat Level: LOW

THRESHOLD ASSESSMENT

WHAT MUST HAPPEN IN THE TARGET JURISDICTION:

The claimant is physically present in Tokyo for the next six months. The following tasks genuinely require physical presence or local institutional access in Japan:

- Secure ground transportation to and from the claimant's Tokyo residence to examination and deposition venues
- In-person interpretation during both the medical examination and deposition proceedings
- A locally qualified assisting medical examiner or clinical observer who can physically support the virtual US-based examining physician during the IME, including conducting the physical examination protocol under remote direction
- Conference room venue coordination at a four-star or above hotel in Tokyo, including AV setup for the virtual IME connection with the US-based physician
- Court reporter coordination interface with Esquire Legal Services at the deposition venue

WHAT CAN BE DONE REMOTELY OR FROM THE CLIENT'S OWN JURISDICTION:

- The examining physician authoring the IME report is already confirmed to be US-based and conducting the examination virtually. This is appropriate for a Defense Base Act matter before a Department of Labor ALJ - the report author's US credentials carry direct evidentiary weight in that forum without any translation or authentication burden.
- Scheduling, venue contract negotiation, and logistics coordination can be conducted remotely by the client's advisory firm working with Tokyo-based hotel conference services and local vendor networks.
- Esquire Legal Services' court reporter coordination can be managed from the US jurisdiction and interfaced locally at the venue.



CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

- All document preparation, deposition outline preparation, and pre-hearing legal strategy remain in the US jurisdiction.

WHAT THE CLIENT CAN DO THEMSELVES, TODAY, AT NO COST:

- Contact the claimant's representative directly (via established litigation channels) to propose scheduling dates and confirm the claimant's Tokyo address for logistics planning - the claimant is represented, so all contact routes through counsel.
- Confirm with Esquire Legal Services which Tokyo-area court reporters, if any, are already within their certified vendor network, which may reduce the search burden for bilingual or certified transcription services.
- Identify four-star and above hotels in central Tokyo (Shinjuku, Marunouchi, Minato) with conference facilities and document AV capability requirements for a virtual IME connection - hotel websites and direct sales enquiries carry no cost.
- Confirm with the US-based examining physician the technical requirements for the remote examination protocol and what assisting examiner functions are required on-site.

PROPORTIONALITY:

The value at stake in a Defense Base Act rotator cuff claim before a DOL ALJ is typically material - settlement values and award potential in such matters commonly fall in the USD 50,000-USD 300,000+ range depending on impairment rating, wage loss, and permanent disability findings. The hearing is ten weeks out. The operational logistics budget of EUR5,000-EUR25,000 is proportionate and appropriate for a matter of this value. The recommended approach should be accelerated but not over-engineered.

THRESHOLD CONCLUSION:

This matter requires genuine physical coordination in Tokyo - specifically venue arrangement, interpreter engagement, assisting examiner identification, and secure transport. However, the legal and medical authorship functions remain properly in the US jurisdiction, and much of the vendor coordination can be managed remotely. The engagement is operationally straightforward in a high-infrastructure jurisdiction with excellent professional services availability. The ten-week timeline is achievable with prompt vendor engagement beginning immediately.

STRATEGIC APPROACHES

APPROACH 1 - STANDARD

Method: Client coordinates all Tokyo logistics directly and remotely. Hotel venues sourced via direct hotel sales enquiries. Japanese medical interpreter and assisting examiner identified through JAMT (Japan Association of Medical Translators) and regional orthopaedic clinic networks. Transport arranged through standard licensed Tokyo limousine or executive car services. Esquire manages its own court reporter interface.

Timeline: 3-5 weeks for full setup

Estimated Cost: EUR3,500-EUR5,000 (interpretation, assisting examiner fee, transport, venue room hire)

Recommended for: Clients comfortable managing multi-vendor coordination across a language barrier without a local intermediary.

APPROACH 2 - ACCELERATED (RECOMMENDED)

Method: Engage a Tokyo-based legal support or litigation support firm with demonstrated experience in international arbitration or cross-border US litigation support to act as local coordinator. The coordinator manages venue booking, interpreter sourcing and vetting, assisting examiner engagement, transport scheduling, and on-site management of both appointments. Esquire interface handled through the coordinator.

Timeline: 2-3 weeks for full vendor setup; both appointments completed within 5-7 weeks



CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

Estimated Cost: EUR8,000-EUR14,000 inclusive of coordination fee, interpretation, assisting examiner, venue hire, and transport

Recommended for: This engagement - given the ten-week hearing deadline, the language complexity, and the need for seamless on-the-day execution across two separate appointments with a represented claimant.

APPROACH 3 - EXPEDITED

Method: Engage a Tier 1 international professional services firm with a Tokyo office (e.g., a global litigation support or legal process management firm) to manage the full local logistics under a single-vendor model. All interpreter, examiner, transport, and venue services sourced and managed by the appointed firm, with direct interface to US counsel and Esquire.

Timeline: 1-2 weeks for setup; appointments schedulable within 3-4 weeks

Estimated Cost: EUR18,000-EUR25,000

Recommended for: Situations where timeline is compressed further or where single-vendor accountability is required by the insurer's internal compliance structure.

MATTER SUMMARY

This engagement involves coordinating the logistics for a Defense Base Act IME and claimant deposition in Tokyo, Japan, on behalf of AIG as the insurer defending a claim before a Department of Labor ALJ. The claimant is a Japanese national, currently residing in Tokyo, who alleges a rotator cuff injury sustained during load-handling operations at Kadena Air Base in Okinawa. The examining physician is US-based and will conduct the examination virtually, requiring an assisting local examiner to perform the physical examination protocol on-site and transmit findings remotely. The deposition will require a certified court reporter (via Esquire), a qualified Japanese-English legal interpreter, and a neutral, appropriately equipped conference venue. The ten-week hearing timeline makes prompt logistics mobilisation the priority.

The operational environment in Tokyo is favourable. Japan presents no meaningful access risk, no language-of-proceeding complications at the local logistics level, and an excellent professional services infrastructure. The primary challenges are sourcing a clinically qualified assisting examiner willing to work under a US-based physician's remote direction - a role that requires careful professional framing - and ensuring the interpreter holds credentials appropriate for both medical examination and formal deposition proceedings. The claimant is represented, meaning all scheduling must be conducted through opposing counsel, and all venue and transport logistics must be presented neutrally to avoid any suggestion of improper influence or claimant pressure. With prompt engagement of a capable Tokyo litigation support coordinator, both appointments are achievable well within the available window.

KEY CONSIDERATIONS

1. The assisting examiner role requires careful professional positioning. A Japanese orthopaedic surgeon or physical medicine specialist acting as an on-site examiner under the direction of a US physician occupies an unusual clinical and medicolegal position. The engagement letter must clearly define the assisting examiner's role - clinical data collection and transmission, not independent diagnostic opinion - and the examiner must be willing to accept that framing. Sourcing this individual through an established IME vendor network or international medicolegal referral channel is preferable to a cold approach to a clinical practice.

CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

2. The interpreter must hold dual competency. The deposition requires a certified legal interpreter; the IME requires medical terminology fluency. If a single interpreter is to serve both appointments, confirm in advance that their credentials span both domains. Alternatively, engage separate interpreters for each appointment and brief both on the subject matter in advance. The interpreter for the deposition must be acceptable to both parties and to the court reporter for transcript certification purposes.
3. All claimant contact and scheduling must flow through opposing counsel. The claimant is represented. Any direct approach to the claimant - including transport arrangements or venue notifications sent directly to his address - must be cleared through his legal representative or routed through agreed logistics channels. Failure to maintain this discipline risks a procedural complaint that could complicate the hearing.
4. Venue selection should be driven by AV capability and neutrality. The virtual IME requires a stable high-bandwidth internet connection, a large-format display or screen for the US physician, and ideally a secondary camera angle for examination observation. Hotels in the Marunouchi, Shinjuku, or Akasaka districts of Tokyo maintain conference facilities specifically equipped for international video proceedings. Neutral venue selection also signals procedural fairness, which is relevant in a contested claim.
5. The subject entity - Kadena Facility Services KK - returns no adverse findings in the intelligence sources queried. No INTERPOL Red Notice match was returned. No LEI record was located via GLEIF, which is consistent with the profile of a small-to-medium Japanese private company operating as a base support contractor; the absence of an LEI is standard for this class of entity and carries no adverse inference. No news coverage or Wikidata record was found, again consistent with a private operational contractor. These null results are unremarkable for this entity type and do not alter the logistics engagement.

LEGAL & REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

The Defense Base Act extends US workers' compensation coverage to employees working on US military bases overseas under qualifying contracts. Claims are adjudicated before the US Department of Labor's Office of Administrative Law Judges, applying US federal law and procedure. This means the evidentiary standards, medical examination protocols, and deposition procedures governing this engagement are US-law constructs - Japanese law does not govern the substantive claim, and the IME report and deposition transcript will be evaluated under US federal administrative procedure rather than Japanese civil procedure.

However, the physical activities taking place in Japan are subject to Japanese domestic law and professional regulation. The assisting medical examiner must hold a valid Japanese medical licence (????, ishi menkyo) issued under the Medical Practitioners Act. Any clinical act performed in Japan - including physical examination - must be performed by a licensed Japanese physician or practitioner. The transportation of the claimant must comply with Japanese road transport regulations; executive car and licensed limousine services operating in Tokyo are well-regulated and readily sourced. Japan's Act on the Protection of Personal Information (APPI) applies to the handling of the claimant's health data and home address - all personal data collected or transmitted in connection with this engagement must be handled in compliance with APPI, including appropriate data transfer controls if health information is transmitted to the US-based physician.

Japan does not have a domestic system of court reporters in the US sense; the deposition will be conducted under US procedural authority and the court reporter provided by Esquire operates under that authority. However, the interpreter used in the deposition should ideally hold certification recognised by the Japan Legal Support Center or equivalent, and the deposition notice should be reviewed by US counsel to confirm that its form is appropriate for service on a represented claimant residing in Japan. If the claimant's representative raises any objection to the deposition format or the virtual IME structure, those objections will be argued before the ALJ under US administrative procedure - not Japanese courts.



CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDERS REQUIRED

TYPE: Tokyo Litigation Support / Legal Process Coordinator

ROLE: Single-point local coordinator managing venue booking, vendor sourcing, interpreter engagement, assisting examiner liaison, transport scheduling, and on-site supervision of both appointments.

QUALIFICATIONS TO LOOK FOR: Demonstrable experience supporting US or international arbitration proceedings in Tokyo; familiarity with cross-border medicolegal logistics; English-Japanese bilingual capability at professional standard; established relationships with Tokyo hotel conference facilities.

TYPICAL COST RANGE: EUR3,000-EUR6,000 for coordination across both appointments (Japan multiplier ~1.0x given Tokyo's professional market rates)

HOW TO VERIFY CREDENTIALS: Request references from US law firms or insurance clients for whom they have coordinated proceedings in Japan; verify any professional association memberships such as the Japan Association of Legal Support (or equivalent international arbitration support body).

CONFIDENCE LEVEL: HIGH

TYPE: Japanese-English Legal and Medical Interpreter

ROLE: Provide interpretation at both the IME and deposition; ensure medical terminology accuracy at the examination and legal terminology precision at the deposition.

QUALIFICATIONS TO LOOK FOR: Certification in legal interpretation; demonstrable medical interpretation experience, ideally in orthopaedics or occupational injury contexts; prior deposition experience under US or international arbitration procedures.

TYPICAL COST RANGE: EUR400-EUR900 per day per appointment; budget for two full-day engagements.

HOW TO VERIFY CREDENTIALS: Via the Japan Association of Translators and Interpreters or JAMT (Japan Association of Medical Translators); request CV and confirmation of prior medicolegal deposition experience.

CONFIDENCE LEVEL: HIGH

TYPE: Assisting Medical Examiner (On-site IME Support)

ROLE: Licensed Japanese physician, preferably orthopaedic or occupational medicine specialist, who conducts the physical examination protocol under the remote direction of the US-based examining physician and transmits clinical findings in real time.

QUALIFICATIONS TO LOOK FOR: Valid Japanese ishi menkyo; orthopaedic or occupational medicine specialism; willingness to operate in an assisting/data-collection role rather than as an independent examiner; prior IME or medicolegal examination experience.

TYPICAL COST RANGE: EUR800-EUR2,000 per examination session, depending on physician seniority and session length.

HOW TO VERIFY CREDENTIALS: Confirm ishi menkyo via the Japan Medical Association member directory; request CV with specialism confirmation; verify prior medicolegal work history through the engaging litigation support coordinator.

CONFIDENCE LEVEL: MEDIUM (sourcing this role is the most operationally complex element of this engagement)

TYPE: Licensed Executive Transport Provider

ROLE: Secure, professional ground transportation for the claimant between his Tokyo residence and the examination and deposition venues. Discrete, punctual, and appropriate for a claimant who is party to active litigation.



CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

QUALIFICATIONS TO LOOK FOR: Licensed hire car or limousine service operating under Japan's Road Transport Business Act; English-speaking drivers preferred; privacy-appropriate vehicles.

TYPICAL COST RANGE: EUR150-EUR400 per round-trip appointment.

HOW TO VERIFY CREDENTIALS: Confirm licensing through operator's displayed transport licence number; use established Tokyo hotel concierge referrals or established corporate car accounts.

CONFIDENCE LEVEL: HIGH

RECOMMENDED LOCAL FIRMS & CONTACTS

SERVICE TYPE: International Litigation and Legal Process Support

FIRM NAME: Not named - directory pathway recommended.

WEBSITE: Search via the American Chamber of Commerce in Japan (accj.or.jp) member directory under "Legal Services" or "Business Services" for firms with demonstrated US litigation support experience in Tokyo.

WHY SUITABLE: ACCJ membership firms have demonstrable cross-border US-Japan professional service experience and client accountability. This is the most reliable sourcing route for a Tokyo-based coordinator with US litigation familiarity.

HOW TO MAKE CONTACT: Via the ACCJ member directory search function on the ACCJ website; identify firms under relevant service categories and approach via their website enquiry routes.

CONFIDENCE LEVEL: HIGH

SERVICE TYPE: Certified Legal and Medical Interpreter

FIRM NAME: Not named - directory pathway recommended.

WEBSITE: jat.org (Japan Association of Translators); also search the AIIC (International Association of Conference Interpreters) Japan region directory at aiic.net.

WHY SUITABLE: Both organisations maintain publicly searchable directories of credentialed interpreters with specialism and language pair information. AIIC members operate under a binding professional code and are used routinely in international arbitration and cross-border proceedings.

HOW TO MAKE CONTACT: Via the member search tools on jat.org and aiic.net; filter by Japanese-English language pair and legal/medical specialism.

CONFIDENCE LEVEL: HIGH

SERVICE TYPE: Hotel Conference Venue with AV Capability

FIRM NAME: Not named - direct hotel enquiry recommended.

WEBSITE: Four-star and above international brand hotels in Tokyo's Marunouchi, Shinjuku, or Akasaka districts maintain dedicated events and conference sales teams. Brands with established international conference infrastructure include the Palace Hotel Tokyo (palacehoteltokyo.com), ANA InterContinental Tokyo (anacontinental-tokyo.com), and the Cerulean Tower Tokyu Hotel (ceruleantower-hotel.com). Confirm AV specification - specifically high-bandwidth dedicated connection and large-format display - directly with each venue's events team.

WHY SUITABLE: These properties offer neutral, professionally managed environments with AV infrastructure suitable for international video proceedings. They are operationally neutral, which is important given the claimant's represented status.

HOW TO MAKE CONTACT: Via each hotel's conference and events enquiry route on their respective websites.



CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

CONFIDENCE LEVEL: HIGH

RISK & COMPLIANCE CONSIDERATIONS

The intelligence screening conducted for this engagement returned no adverse findings for Kadena Facility Services KK. An INTERPOL Red Notice check returned no match. No LEI record was found via GLEIF, which is standard and expected for a private Japanese operating company of this type; the absence of an LEI is not an adverse finding. No news coverage was identified, and no structured entity data was found in Wikidata - both results are consistent with a private base support contractor operating in a non-public-facing capacity. These results are unremarkable and do not indicate any elevated risk in engaging with the entity or conducting this logistics operation. It should be noted that no formal sanctions screening has been conducted through this process; if AIG's internal compliance protocols require sanctions screening of matter-connected entities, that should be completed through the insurer's own compliance function using an appropriate sanctions data provider before the engagement is formalised.

The primary compliance risk in this engagement is procedural, not counterparty-related. The claimant is a represented party in active litigation before a federal ALJ. All logistics arrangements - transport, venue notification, scheduling - must be communicated through his counsel of record, not directly. Any direct contact with the claimant, including transport bookings sent to his home address without counsel involvement, could be characterised as improper contact with a represented party, a serious professional conduct issue for the US attorneys involved. The logistics coordinator must be instructed on this constraint from the outset.

Japan's Act on the Protection of Personal Information classifies health information as sensitive personal data subject to heightened protection requirements. The transmission of examination findings from the Tokyo venue to the US-based examining physician - whether by video, data transfer, or written protocol - must be structured in compliance with APPI's cross-border data transfer provisions. The assisting examiner and the litigation support coordinator should both be briefed on applicable data handling requirements. US counsel should also confirm whether any HIPAA obligations attach to the handling of the claimant's health data on the US side, given the insurer's status as a covered entity or business associate under that framework.

LOCAL CONTEXT

Tokyo is one of the most logistically capable cities in Asia for international professional proceedings. Conference-grade hotel facilities, licensed executive transport, and credentialled interpreters are all readily accessible through established professional networks. Language is the primary operational variable - English-language logistics coordination requires explicit confirmation at each vendor engagement, as operational staff outside major international hotels may have limited English capability. Engaging a bilingual Tokyo-based coordinator eliminates this variable entirely and is the single most effective risk mitigation for this engagement.

Okinawa, where the claimant is based long-term, is a substantively different operational environment - professional services availability is more limited, interpretation and medicolegal resources are thinner, and hotel conference infrastructure is less developed. The client's preference to complete both appointments in Tokyo while the claimant is resident there is operationally sound and should be treated as a fixed constraint in the scheduling plan. Allowing the appointment window to drift past the claimant's return to Okinawa would materially complicate logistics and increase cost without benefit.

CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

SECURE WORKING PRACTICES

All documentation containing the claimant's personal data - home address, health history, examination findings - should be transmitted only through encrypted channels and stored in compliance with both APPI and any applicable US insurer data security requirements. The Tokyo litigation support coordinator should sign a data processing agreement before receiving any claimant personal data. Transport providers should be instructed on a need-to-know basis - specifically, they should receive the claimant's pickup address and venue destination without broader case context. On-site at both appointments, access to the conference room should be restricted to credentialed participants, and any video connection with the US-based examining physician should use a secure, password-protected platform rather than a standard consumer video service.

RECOMMENDED APPROACH

Engage a Tokyo-based litigation support coordinator within the first week. This is the single highest-leverage action available to the client. A qualified coordinator with US litigation support experience will compress the remaining setup timeline, eliminate language coordination risk, and provide on-the-day presence at both appointments. The coordinator should be sourced via the ACCJ member directory or through direct referral from US counsel's existing Tokyo contacts, if available.

Once the coordinator is appointed, the following sequenced actions should be initiated in parallel:

1. Confirm venue availability at two separate dates at a selected four-star or above Tokyo hotel conference facility - allow a minimum two-day gap between the IME and the deposition to avoid scheduling pressure.
2. Instruct the coordinator to identify and vet an assisting examiner candidate, obtain CV and ishi menkyo confirmation, and provide the US examining physician with the candidate's credentials for approval before engagement is formalised.
3. Instruct the coordinator to source and vet an interpreter with dual medical and legal certification, and confirm that interpreter's availability for both appointment dates.
4. Notify opposing counsel of the proposed scheduling and venue through US counsel, obtaining written confirmation of the claimant's participation before finalising any vendor bookings.
5. Confirm transport logistics - pickup address, vehicle type, timing - with the licensed transport provider only after scheduling is confirmed by opposing counsel, and communicate all logistics to opposing counsel rather than directly to the claimant.

Esquire Legal Services should be briefed on the confirmed deposition date and venue as soon as scheduling is agreed, with sufficient lead time for their court reporter to prepare. Confirm with Esquire whether they have a Tokyo-based certified court reporter in their network, or whether they require the Tokyo coordinator to source a compatible local provider who can interface with Esquire's transcription process.

TIMELINE & MILESTONES

- (1) Days 1-5: Appoint Tokyo litigation support coordinator; brief US examining physician on assisting examiner role requirements and AV technical specifications.
- (2) Days 5-10: Coordinator identifies assisting examiner candidates and interpreter candidates; US physician reviews and



CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

approves assisting examiner credentials.

(3) Days 7-14: US counsel proposes scheduling dates to opposing counsel; confirm both parties' availability for IME and deposition within the available window.

(4) Days 10-18: Venue confirmed at selected Tokyo hotel; AV setup tested and confirmed with hotel events team; Esquire briefed on deposition date and venue logistics.

(5) Days 14-21: All vendor engagements formalised - assisting examiner, interpreter, transport provider - with signed agreements including data handling obligations.

(6) Days 21-35: Pre-appointment briefing of interpreter and assisting examiner on case context, terminology, and examination protocol; transport arrangements confirmed with opposing counsel.

(7) Days 35-50: IME conducted; deposition conducted; transcripts and examination findings transmitted to US counsel for hearing preparation.

(8) Days 50-70: Hearing preparation window with all examination and deposition materials available to US counsel well ahead of the ALJ hearing date.

Confidence Rating: HIGH. This timeline is achievable within the ten-week hearing window provided coordinator engagement begins within the first five days. The critical path item is assisting examiner sourcing - if this extends beyond two weeks, compress the deposition-IME gap and conduct appointments in closer succession.

CONTINGENCY CONSIDERATIONS

If the assisting examiner role cannot be filled within the required timeframe through direct sourcing, approach specialist IME management companies with Japan operations - several US-based IME vendors maintain Asia-Pacific networks and can place qualified local physicians under established engagement frameworks. Alternatively, US counsel should confirm with the ALJ whether the virtual IME format could be modified - for example, whether a physiotherapy or allied health professional could perform specific physical examination tasks under physician direction, which would broaden the available pool. This is a procedural question for the DOL forum, not a Japan-side issue.

If opposing counsel declines to cooperate with the proposed scheduling or raises procedural objections to the virtual IME format, those objections must be resolved at the ALJ level by US counsel before local logistics can be finalised. The Tokyo coordinator and all vendor agreements should be structured with appropriate cancellation provisions to manage the risk of a scheduling delay driven by litigation procedural disputes. If the claimant returns to Okinawa before appointments are completed, contingency venue options in Naha - specifically the Hyatt Regency Naha or comparable international-brand conference facilities - should be identified in advance as a fallback, noting that interpreter and assisting examiner availability will need to be re-confirmed for the Okinawa market. Investigators or professional service provider directories for this jurisdiction can be sourced through the ACCJ (accj.or.jp), the Japan Federation of Bar Associations (nichibenren.or.jp), or the ACFE CFE Finder at acfe.com/cfe-finder if a certified fraud or investigative element becomes necessary in connection with the broader claim.

PROFESSIONAL FEES ESTIMATE

The recommended Approach 2 budget envelope of EUR8,000-EUR14,000 should be allocated approximately as follows: Tokyo litigation support coordinator fee EUR3,000-EUR5,000 (covering vendor sourcing, contract management, and on-site supervision at both appointments); assisting



CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

examiner fee EUR800-EUR2,000; interpreter fees for both appointments EUR800-EUR1,500; hotel conference room hire for two appointments EUR600-EUR1,200; licensed executive transport for two round trips EUR300-EUR600; miscellaneous logistics and communication costs EUR200-EUR400. These estimates apply a Japan cost multiplier of approximately 1.0x against baseline professional service rates, reflecting Tokyo's position as a high-cost professional services market comparable to major Western European cities.

The total estimated cost across all components falls within the lower-to-mid range of the client's stated EUR5,000-EUR25,000 budget, leaving a meaningful contingency reserve. Costs should be confirmed with the appointed coordinator before commitments are made, as hotel conference room rates in particular can vary significantly between venues and between standard and dedicated AV-equipped configurations. All vendor fees should be quoted in Japanese Yen with EUR conversion agreed at the time of engagement to manage FX exposure during the appointment window.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & RECOMMENDED NEXT STEPS

This is a well-defined, operationally manageable logistics engagement in a high-capability jurisdiction. Tokyo presents no meaningful access, infrastructure, or counterparty risk for this type of proceeding. Intelligence screening of Kadena Facility Services KK returned no INTERPOL Red Notice match, no adverse news coverage, and no anomalous corporate findings - the absence of LEI and public profile data is entirely consistent with a private Japanese base support contractor and carries no adverse inference. The operational challenges are structural rather than risk-driven: sourcing a suitably credentialed assisting examiner for a virtual IME is an unusual requirement that benefits from specialist handling, and the represented-claimant constraint requires that all logistics communication flow through opposing counsel without exception. Approach 2 - engaging a Tokyo-based litigation support coordinator as the single operational hub - is recommended as the most efficient and risk-controlled route within the available budget and timeline.

1. Appoint a Tokyo litigation support coordinator within five days, sourced via the ACCJ member directory or through direct referral from US counsel's Japan contacts.
2. Brief the US-based examining physician immediately on the assisting examiner role parameters, AV requirements, and examination protocol, so that vendor-side sourcing criteria are confirmed before the coordinator begins outreach.
3. Instruct US counsel to open scheduling discussions with opposing counsel promptly, establishing available date windows before venue and vendor bookings are committed.
4. Confirm interpreter credentials span both medical and legal domains before finalising engagement, and brief the interpreter on case terminology no later than one week before each appointment.
5. Structure all vendor agreements with clear cancellation provisions and confirm that claimant personal data handling - including transmission to the US examining physician - is APPI-compliant from the point of first data collection.

If this approach is followed promptly, both the IME and deposition can be completed in Tokyo within six weeks, leaving a four-week preparation window for US counsel ahead of the ALJ hearing.

--- END OF BRIEFING ---

[*] LIVE INTELLIGENCE ENRICHMENT

Live data from public sources, jurisdiction-routed, verify independently before operational use

> [!] IMPORTANT - READ BEFORE USING THIS DATA





CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

> All results below are name-matched only. They are retrieved by querying public databases with the subject name as a search string. They have NOT been verified as relating to the specific individual or entity named in this engagement. Results may refer to entirely different people or companies who share the same name.

>

> Before relying on any finding below: cross-reference against date of birth, company registration number, address, or other known identifiers to confirm the result relates to your subject. Use the manual registry links provided in each section to verify directly at source.

>

> On absence of results: a source returning no match means only that this specific database holds no record matching the search string. It is not evidence of absence of risk. Small and mid-size private entities are routinely absent from LEI, encyclopaedia and news sources - this is expected and carries no adverse inference. Sections marked [!] require identity verification before operational use.

Live data retrieved from public sources. All findings require independent verification before operational use.

INTELLIGENCE SOURCE MANIFEST

Complete record of every source queried for this report - what triggered it and its current status.

| SOURCE | TRIGGER | STATUS |

| --- | --- | --- |

| Interpol Red Notices | Always active | [OK] No matches |

| ICIJ Offshore Leaks | Always active | - No result returned |

| GLEIF (Legal Entity Identifier) | Always active | [OK] No matches |

| Google News RSS | Always active | [OK] No articles found |

| Wikidata (Structured Entity Data) | Always active | [OK] No records found |

| Wikipedia (Identity Resolution) | Always active - title prefilter and resolver review | [OK] No candidates returned by opensearch |

| Companies House (UK Corporate Registry) | UK location OR British nationality | [X] Not queried - no UK connection detected |

| UK Insolvency & Disqualification | UK location OR British nationality | [X] Not queried - no UK connection detected |

| CourtListener (US Federal Courts) | US location OR American nationality | [X] Not queried - no US connection detected |

| SEC EDGAR (Securities Filings) | US location OR American nationality | [X] Not queried - no US connection detected |

| SAM.gov (Federal Debarments) | US location OR American nationality | [X] Not queried - no US connection detected |

| HaveIBeenPwned (Email Breaches) | Email field provided | - Not activated (provide email address to enable) |

| IP Geolocation (Domain Server) | Domain field provided | - Not activated (provide domain to enable) |

| EU VIES VAT Registry | EU VAT number field provided | - Not activated (provide VAT number to enable) |

Direct integration for OpenSanctions, OpenCorporates and additional registries is in development. Until then, use the pre-targeted verification links in each section above.

JURISDICTION ROUTING NOTE

Jurisdiction-specific sources NOT queried (not applicable to this subject):

- CourtListener (US federal courts only)
- SEC EDGAR (US securities filings only)
- SAM.gov (US federal contractor/debarment registry only)
- Companies House (UK-registered companies only)
- UK Insolvency Service (UK subjects only)

ENTITY PROFILE

Wikidata indexes notable public entities only; absence is expected for private companies and individuals.



CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

WIKIPEDIA PROFILE

Wikipedia returned no candidate articles for this search. Absence from Wikipedia is expected for private companies and non-public individuals and carries no adverse inference.

INTERPOL RED NOTICES

Interpol Red Notice search has returned no active notices matching "Kadena Facility Services KK". Subject does not appear on Interpol's public Red Notice database at time of query.

GLOBAL COMPANY REGISTRY

Global registry search is not automated in this report. UK registrations are covered by the Companies House section where applicable. For other jurisdictions, search directly:

- OpenCorporates: <https://opencorporates.com/companies?q=Kadena%20Facility%20Services%20KK>

LEGAL ENTITY IDENTIFIER

GLEIF has returned no Legal Entity Identifier records matching "Kadena Facility Services KK". Subject may not be a LEI-registered entity, or may be registered under a variant name.

SANCTIONS / PEP SCREENING

Automated sanctions screening is not performed by this report. Screen the subject directly against the consolidated lists below before any commercial or operational commitment:

- OpenSanctions (aggregated global): <https://www.opensanctions.org/search/?q=Kadena%20Facility%20Services%20KK>
- UK OFSI Consolidated List: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/financial-sanctions-consolidated-list-of-targets>
- US OFAC SDN Search: <https://sanctionssearch.ofac.treas.gov/>
- EU Consolidated Sanctions List: <https://www.sanctionsmap.eu/>

OFFSHORE LEAKS DATABASE

ICIJ Offshore Leaks query did not return a result. Manual search recommended at: <https://offshoreleaks.icij.org/search?q=Kadena%20Facility%20Services%20KK>

RECENT NEWS INTELLIGENCE

Google News RSS returned no articles matching "Kadena Facility Services KK". Absence of news coverage is expected for private companies and individuals without a public profile, and carries no adverse inference. Note that this query searches English-language sources only.

DIRECTORSHIP HISTORY

Global officer search is not automated in this report. UK officer appointments appear in the Companies House section where applicable. For other jurisdictions:

- OpenCorporates Officers: <https://opencorporates.com/officers?q=Kadena%20Facility%20Services%20KK>

SUBJECT LOCATION INTELLIGENCE

The following represents documented and inferred location signals for subject: Kadena Facility Services KK

DOCUMENTED LOCATION SIGNALS:

(1) Reported last known area: Okinawa - Tokyo, Japan

NOTE: Location signals are derived from public records and may be outdated. A registered address confirms a filing position, not a physical trading presence. Confirm independently before relying on any location above.

INVESTIGATOR REGISTRY LINKS

Pre-constructed links for manual investigator follow-up. Jurisdiction-aware - only relevant registries shown for this





CONFIDENTIAL - AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY

location, nationality, and operation type.

Global Registries

(1) OpenCorporates - Global Company Search:

<https://opencorporates.com/companies?q=Kadena%20Facility%20Services%20KK>

(2) OpenSanctions - Sanctions & PEP Database:

<https://www.opensanctions.org/search/?q=Kadena%20Facility%20Services%20KK>

(3) ICIJ Offshore Leaks - Panama/Pandora Papers:

<https://offshoreleaks.icij.org/search?q=Kadena%20Facility%20Services%20KK>

(4) OCCRP Aleph - Investigative Journalism Database:

<https://aleph.occrp.org/search?q=Kadena%20Facility%20Services%20KK>

(5) GLEIF - Legal Entity Identifier Database:

<https://search.gleif.org/#!/record/search?query=Kadena%20Facility%20Services%20KK>

(6) Interpol Red Notices: <https://www.interpol.int/en/How-we-work/Notices/View-Red-Notices>

(7) World-Check (Thomson Reuters):

<https://www.refinitiv.com/en/financial-risk/screening-solutions/world-check-kyc-screening>





BLACKBOX

OPERATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

OPS-UFAFWB

21 Aug 2026 · 11:05 UTC



CONFIDENTIAL — AUTHORISED RECIPIENTS ONLY



BLACKBOX

OPS-UFAFWB · END OF REPORT

ZERO RETENTION

This report was generated on demand and delivered once.

It is not stored on BlackBox servers and cannot be recovered after this session. Save this PDF in a secure location with access restricted to authorised recipients.

Generated: 21 Aug 2026 · 11:05 UTC

Reference: OPS-UFAFWB

Classification: Confidential

blackbox.fyi